

RESEARCH

Open Access



Differential effects of bariatric surgery and lifestyle interventions on plasma levels of Lp(a) and fatty acids

Kirsten A. Berk^{1,2†}, Heidi Borgeraas^{3*†}, Ingunn Narverud⁴, Monique T. Mulder¹, Linn K. L. Øyri⁵, Adrie J. M. Verhoeven¹, Milada Cvancarova Småstuen^{3,6}, Martin P. Bogsrud⁷, Torbjørn Omland^{8,9}, Jens Kristoffer Hertel³, Espen Gjevestad^{3,10,11}, Njord Nordstrand⁸, Kirsten B. Holven^{4,5} and Jøran Hjelmæsæth^{3,12}

Abstract

Background: Limited evidence suggests that surgical and non-surgical obesity treatment differentially influence plasma Lipoprotein (a) [Lp(a)] levels. Further, a novel association between plasma arachidonic acid and Lp(a) has recently been shown, suggesting that fatty acids are a possible target to influence Lp(a). Here, the effects of bariatric surgery and lifestyle interventions on plasma levels of Lp(a) were compared, and it was examined whether the effects were mediated by changes in plasma fatty acid (FA) levels.

Methods: The study includes two independent trials of patients with overweight or obesity. Trial 1: Two-armed intervention study including 82 patients who underwent a 7-week low energy diet (LED), followed by Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and 52-week follow-up (surgery-group), and 77 patients who underwent a 59-week energy restricted diet- and exercise-program (lifestyle-group). Trial 2: A clinical study including 134 patients who underwent a 20-week very-LED/LED (lifestyle-cohort).

Results: In the surgery-group, Lp(a) levels [median (interquartile range)] tended to increase in the pre-surgical LED-phase [17(7–68)–21(7–81)nmol/L, $P=0.05$], but decreased by 48% after surgery [21(7–81)—11(7–56)nmol/L, $P<0.001$]. In the lifestyle-group and lifestyle-cohort, Lp(a) increased by 36% [14(7–77)—19(7–94)nmol/L, $P<0.001$] and 14% [50(14–160)—57(19–208)nmol/L, $P<0.001$], respectively. Changes in Lp(a) were independent of weight loss. Plasma levels of total saturated FAs remained unchanged after surgery, but decreased after lifestyle interventions. Arachidonic acid and total n-3 FAs decreased after surgery, but increased after lifestyle interventions. Plasma FAs did not mediate the effects on Lp(a).

Conclusion: Bariatric surgery reduced, whereas lifestyle interventions increased plasma Lp(a), independent of weight loss. The interventions differentially influenced changes in plasma FAs, but these changes did not mediate changes in Lp(a).

Trial registration: Trial 1: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00626964.


Trial 2: Netherlands Trial Register NL2140 (NTR2264).

[†]Kirsten A. Berk and Heidi Borgeraas are shared first authorship.

*Correspondence: heibor@siv.no

³ Morbid Obesity Center, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Tønsberg, Norway
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





Introduction

Lipoprotein (a) [Lp(a)] is a low density lipoprotein (LDL)-like particle with an apolipoprotein (a) [apo(a)] attached to the ApoB₁₀₀, and is considered an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease [1–4]. Plasma Lp(a) levels can be manipulated, and studies indicate that as much as 25% of the variance in Lp(a) levels is ascribed to lifestyle factors [5]. However, the mechanisms by which Lp(a) levels are regulated are not well understood.

Lp(a) levels are influenced by caloric restriction and bariatric surgery, but do not appear to be regulated by weight loss per se [6]. It has previously been shown that weight loss after energy-restricted dieting was associated with an increase in plasma Lp(a) levels in adults with or without type 2 diabetes (T2D), while plasma Lp(a) levels showed a strong tendency to decrease in patients without T2D who underwent bariatric surgery [7]. A recent meta analysis showed that bariatric surgery significantly decreased circulating Lp(a) levels, and that the decrease in Lp(a) was not associated with change in body mass index (BMI) [8].

Lp(a) levels may also be regulated, to some extent, by changes in plasma fatty acids (FAs). A positive, novel association between plasma levels of the n-6 FA

arachidonic acid (AA) and Lp(a) in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia has recently been shown [9]. Other studies have shown that an increased intake of total- and saturated fat is accompanied by a decrease in Lp(a) levels [10–13], and that supplementation of conjugated LA lead to increased Lp(a) levels [14]. The composition of the plasma FA pool may be altered both by caloric restriction [15] and bariatric surgery [16–18], where possible contributing factors are the reduced dietary intake, changes in the dietary composition, malabsorption of lipids [19] and release of FAs from the body fat deposits during weight loss. Whether changes in plasma Lp(a) levels following caloric restriction or bariatric surgery are mediated by changes in plasma FA levels is not known. It is important to identify opportunities to reduce adverse changes to Lp(a) during weight loss dieting, through intervening on plasma FAs.

In this study, the effects of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery (RYGB) and an intensive lifestyle intervention, including caloric restriction and exercise, on plasma Lp(a) and FA levels in patients with obesity were compared, and it was also examined whether possible effects on plasma Lp(a) levels were mediated by changes in plasma FA levels. The effects of a lifestyle intervention on plasma Lp(a) and FA levels in an independent

cohort of patients with T2D and overweight or obesity were also examined.

Methods

Study subjects and design

This study includes two independent trials.

Trial 1 is a two-armed non-randomized study which compared the 1-year effects of RYGB (surgery-group) with intensive lifestyle intervention (lifestyle-group) (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00626964), conducted at the Morbid Obesity Centre, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Tønsberg, Norway between February 2008 and February 2011. Inclusion criteria were BMI ≥ 40 kg/m², or ≥ 35 kg/m² and at least one obesity related comorbidity. The primary outcome (arterial stiffness) and data on weight-loss and changes in metabolic biomarkers have previously been published [20, 21].

Trial 2 includes individuals who participated in the Prevention Of Weight Regain (POWER) cohort study [Netherlands Trial Register NL2140 (NTR2264)] (lifestyle-cohort) [22]. Participants were recruited at the outpatient diabetes clinic of the Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, between March 2010 and April 2015. The inclusion criteria were BMI > 27 kg/m² and T2D. The primary outcome (Lp(a) levels) and data on weight-loss and changes in metabolic biomarkers have previously been published [7].

Trial 1 was approved by the The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Norway (code: S-05175) and trial 2 was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center (reference numbers MEC-2009–143, MEC-2014–090 and MEC 2016–604). Both trials were conducted according to the principles in the Declaration of Helsinki, and written informed consent was provided by all the participants.

Interventions

Trial 1: The participants in the surgery-group followed a low energy diet (LED) (<900 kcal per day) for 7 weeks prior to surgery (pre-surgery phase), and were followed for 52 weeks after surgery (post-surgery phase) where they received standard follow-up care at the Morbid Obesity Centre—a total follow-up of 59 weeks.

The participants in the lifestyle-group underwent a dietary and physical activity intervention which lasted for a total of 59 weeks [20, 21]. They received nutritional counseling according to Norwegian nutritional guidelines and every participant's energy intake was reduced by 1000 kcal/day, they also underwent 90 min supervised training sessions, including weight bearing and aerobic exercise, 3 days/week during the first 12 weeks. Thereafter, the participants received monthly follow-ups, and

were advised to maintain physical activity for 60–90 min per day throughout the study period (59 weeks).

Trial 2: The participants underwent a dietary intervention which lasted for a total of 20 weeks. During the first 8 weeks, the participants followed a very LED of approximately 750 kcal per day, which consisted of two meal replacements (Glucerna SR, Abbott Nutrition, Lake Forest, Illinois, USA), plus a small dinner, providing a total of 67 g carbohydrates, 11.5 g of fibre, 54 g protein and 32 g fat (of which 16 g monounsaturated FAs) daily and micronutrients according to Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) recommendations. Thereafter, energy intake was slowly increased over 12 weeks up to approximately 1300 kcal per day. In addition, 30–60 min of daily exercise was encouraged during the entire intervention.


Outcomes

The main outcomes were plasma levels of Lp(a) and FAs. Plasma levels were measured at baseline (trial 1 and 2), 7 and 59 weeks (trial 1), and at 20 weeks (trial 2).

Laboratory analyses

In trial 1, plasma Lp(a) concentrations were measured using a particle-enhanced immunoturbidimetric method, by Roche Diagnostics at an accredited medical laboratory, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway (NS-EN ISO 15189:2007). The samples were stored for 6–9 years at -80 °C, and had not been thawed prior to the Lp(a) analysis. In trial 2 Lp(a) concentrations were measured using the Diagnostic System #171,399,910,930 (DiaSys Diagnostic System, GmbH, Holzheim, Germany). The samples were stored for 5–10 years at -80 °C before analysis, and had not been thawed prior to the Lp(a) analysis. Plasma Lp(a) levels were subsequently re-measured in a sub-group of participants from trial 2 using Roche Diagnostics to evaluate method agreement. Measurements of fasting serum blood glucose and lipoprotein profiles have been described previously [21, 22].

Plasma free FA profiles were determined by Gas Chromatography-Flame Ionization Detector analysis at the commercial laboratory Vitas Analytical Services. The serum samples were thawed and aliquoted to dried blood spot (DBS) paper (Whatman 903 paper) until GC-analysis. One 4.7 mm punch of human plasma DBS paper were methylated with sodium methoxide in methanol. After methylation, FA methyl esters (FAME) were extracted with hexane. After thorough mixing and centrifugation, 3 μ l of the hexane phase was injected into the GC-FID. GC-FID was performed with an Agilent 7890A Gas Chromatograph System (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Separations was performed on a SP-2380 (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d. \times 0.25 μ m film thickness) column from Supelco. The results are shown as percentages



of total FAs. In trial 1, the samples were stored for 7–10 years at -80°C , and were frozen twice before FA analysis. In trial 2, the samples were stored for 5–10 years at -80°C and had not been thawed prior to FA analysis.

Statistical analyses

Data are presented as means [standard deviation (SD)] or medians [interquartile range (IQR)] for continuous data, and as counts (%) for categorical data. McNemar's test, paired T-test or Wilcoxon Signed Rank test were used when investigating within-group changes.

Statistical between-group comparisons were made between the lifestyle-group of trial 1 (baseline-59 weeks) and the surgery-group of trial 1 (week 7–59). Between-group differences in changes from baseline (lifestyle-group) and from week 7 (surgery-group) to end of intervention were estimated using a robust linear regression approach which is a non-parametric iterative method using weights from absolute residuals. The results are expressed as means (95% CI), and STATA version 15.0 was used to perform the analyses. Mediation analyses were performed using the PROCESS macro (version 3.3) for SPSS written by A F Hayes [23], with group (lifestyle vs. surgery) as the independent variable, change in Lp(a) level (7 weeks to 59 weeks for the surgery-group and baseline to 59 weeks for the lifestyle-group) as the dependent variable and change in FA level (7 weeks to 59 weeks for the surgery-group and baseline to 59 weeks for the lifestyle-group) as the mediator variable. Hayes uses the three steps as originally suggested by Byron and Kenny. In step 1 the independent variable is regressed on the mediator. In step 2 the independent variable is regressed on the dependent variable. In step 3 the final model with the independent variable and the moderator as covariates is fitted and the proportion of the association between the

independent and dependent variable which is explained by the mediator can be calculated. *P*-values in the mediation analyses were calculated using the Sobel test. *P*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The analyses were considered exploratory, thus no corrections for multiple testing were performed.

Results

Characteristics of the participants

In trial 1, 82 of the 98 patients in the surgery-group, and 77 of the 102 patients in the lifestyle-group completed the 59-week follow-up, leaving 159 patients to be included in the present analysis (Fig. 1). Trial 2 (lifestyle-cohort) included 161 participants, whereof the 134 participants who had measured plasma Lp(a) and FAs before and after the intervention were included in the current analysis.

The baseline characteristics of participants in both trials are presented in Table 1. In trial 1, more than 60% ($n = 102$) of the participants in both arms were female, and 97% ($n = 155$) were White. The participants in the surgery-group were younger (41 years vs. 47 years, $P = 0.011$), had a higher BMI (46 kg/m^2 vs. 42 kg/m^2 , $P < 0.001$), and were less often diagnosed with cardiovascular disease (2% vs. 16%, $P = 0.004$), compared with participants in the lifestyle-group. A total of 26% ($n = 41$) had T2D, and 19% ($n = 30$) were prescribed a statin, with no difference between the groups. In trial 2, 60% ($n = 80$) of the participants were women and 45% ($n = 73$) were White, the median age was 55 years and the mean BMI 35 kg/m^2 . All participants were diagnosed with T2D, 49% ($n = 65$) were on insulin treatment, 16% ($n = 22$) were diagnosed with cardiovascular disease and 58% ($n = 77$) received statin treatment.

Table 1 Characteristics of the participants at baseline and after interventions

	Trial 1				Trial 2							
	Surgery-group n = 82		Lifestyle-group n = 77		Lifestyle-cohort n = 134							
	Baseline	7 weeks	P*	59 weeks	P†	Baseline	59 weeks	P‡	Baseline	20 weeks	P‡	
Age, years	41 (36–49)			47 (37–55)					55 (26–74)			
Sex, female, n (%)	54 (65.9)			48 (62.3)					80 (59.7)			
Ethnicity, white, n (%)	80 (97.6)			75 (97.4)					73 (45)			
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	2 (2)			12 (16)					22 (16.4)			
Type 2 diabetes mellitus, n (%)	24 (29.3)			17 (22.1)					134 (100)			
Statins, n (%)	12 (14.6)	11 (13.4)	1.00	9 (11.0)	0.63				77 (58.0)	75 (56.0)	1.00	
Insulin, n (%)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)	1.00	0 (0)	1.00				65 (48.5)	47 (35.1)	0.125	
BMI, kg/m ²	45.6 (5.3)	42.6 (5.0)	<0.001	31.2 (4.7)	<0.001				35.4 (32.4–38.6)	32.3 (29.4–35.8)	<0.001	
Weight, kg	137.1 (21.9)	127.9 (20.1)	<0.001	93.8 (17.7)	<0.001				101.5 (89.4–115.8)	92.1 (80.8–105.2)	<0.001	
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	4.9 (1.0)	4.6 (1.0)	<0.001	4.3 (0.9)	<0.001				4.4 (3.6–5.1)	4.1 (3.5–4.9)	0.001	
HDL cholesterol, mmol/L	1.1 (0.3)	1.0 (0.2)	<0.001	1.5 (0.4)	<0.001				1.2 (1.0–1.4)	1.2 (1.0–1.4)	0.019	
LDL cholesterol, mmol/L	3.1 (0.9)	2.9 (0.9)	0.014	2.4 (0.7)	<0.001				2.5 (2.1–3.2)	2.4 (1.7–2.9)	0.003	
Triglycerides, mmol/L	1.5 (1.0–2.0)	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	<0.001	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	<0.001				1.6 (1.1–2.4)	1.4 (1.0–1.9)	<0.001	
Lp(a), nmol/L	16.5 (7.0–68.0)	21.0 (7.0–81.3)	0.05	10.5 (7.0–55.8)	<0.001				50 (14–160)	57 (19–208)	<0.001	
Glucose, mmol/L	5.4 (5.1–6.3)	5.1 (4.7–5.8)	0.001	4.7 (4.5–5.0)	<0.001				8.5 (6.9–10.5)	7.2 (6.1–9.0)	<0.001	
C-reactive protein, mg/dL	8.1 (3.7–12.0)	5.2 (3.0–9.2)	<0.001	0.6 (0.7–1.8)	<0.001				4.5 (1.6–15.0)	3.4 (1.1–8.8)	0.009	

Abbreviations: BMI body mass index, HDL high density lipoprotein, LDL low density lipoprotein. Data are given as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range). McNemar's test, paired T test or Wilcoxon signed Rank test comparing

* baseline and 7 weeks

† 7 weeks and end of intervention

‡ baseline and end of intervention

Weight loss and changes in metabolic biomarkers

In trial 1, the initial 7-week LED in the surgery-group led to a mean (95% CI) total body weight loss (TBWL) of 7 (6–7)%, followed by an additional 27 (25–28)% TBWL after surgery (week 7–59) (Table 1). The lifestyle-group had a TBWL of 10 (8–12)% at 59-week follow-up. The participants in trial 2 (lifestyle-cohort) had a TBWL of 9 (8–10)% at 20 weeks follow-up.

The serum levels of triglycerides, fasting glucose and C-reactive protein decreased significantly over time in both groups in trial 1 and also in trial 2 (Table 1). Serum total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol remained unchanged in the lifestyle-group in trial 1, but decreased significantly after surgery in trial 1 and also in trial 2. High density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels increased in both groups in trial 1 and also in trial 2.

Lipoprotein (a)

In the surgery-group, the median (IQR) concentration of Lp(a) tended to increase during the 7-week pre-surgery LED-phase [from 17 (7–68) to 21 (7–81) nmol/L, $P=0.05$], but were decreased by 48% after surgery [from 21 (7–81) to 11 (7–56) nmol/L, $P<0.001$] at week 59 (Table 1). There was also a significant 35% decrease in plasma Lp(a) levels when comparing baseline values to values at 59 weeks [from 17 (7–68) to 11 (7–56) nmol/L, $P=0.004$] in the surgery-group. Median plasma levels of Lp(a) increased by 36% [from 14 (7–77) to 19 (7–94) nmol/L, $P<0.001$] during 59-week follow-up in the lifestyle-group (Trial 1). There was a significant difference in change [mean (95% CI)] in Lp(a) levels when comparing the surgery-group (week 7–59) with the lifestyle-group (baseline–59 weeks) [-8.0 (-11.1, -4.8) nmol/L, $P<0.001$], and adjusting for changes in body weight, sex and age did not significantly influence the results (data not shown). Changes in Lp(a) levels from week 7 to week 59 for each individual participant in the surgery-group and from baseline to 59 weeks for the participants in the lifestyle-group, are presented in Fig. 2A. The figure shows that the majority of participants in the surgery group experienced a reduction in plasma Lp(a) levels, while the majority of the participants in the lifestyle-group experienced increased plasma levels of Lp(a) during follow-up. In trial 2 (lifestyle-cohort), median plasma levels of Lp(a) increased by 14% [from 50 (14–160) to 57 (19–208) nmol/L, $P<0.001$] during 20-week follow-up. Changes in Lp(a) levels from baseline to 20 weeks for the participants in trial 2 are presented in Fig. 2B. There was no significant association between change in Lp(a) levels and change in body weight in trial 2 (data not shown).

Fatty acids

Saturated fatty acids

In trial 1, plasma levels of total saturated FAs did not change after surgery (week 7–59), but decreased slightly after the 59-week lifestyle-intervention (Table 2). Plasma levels of myristic acid (C14:0), pentadecylic acid (C15:0) and stearic acid (C18:0) increased after surgery, but decreased (myristic acid) or remained unchanged (pentadecylic acid and stearic acid) in the lifestyle-group. Palmitic acid (C16:0) levels decreased in both groups. Plasma levels of all the individual saturated FAs changed significantly more after surgery than after the lifestyle intervention (Table 3).

During the pre-surgical LED phase in trial 1 and during the lifestyle-intervention in trial 2, plasma levels of all saturated FAs decreased or remained unchanged (Table 2).

Monounsaturated fatty acids

In trial 1, plasma levels of total monounsaturated FAs, mainly oleic acid (C18:1 n-9), did not change after surgery (week 7–59) or after the 59-week lifestyle-intervention, while plasma levels of palmitoleic acid (C16:1 n-7) decreased and eicosenoic acid (C20:1 n-9) levels increased in both groups (Table 2). Vaccenic acid (C18:1 n-7 cis) levels decreased after surgery and remained unchanged in the lifestyle-group, resulting in a significant between-group difference (Table 3).

During the pre-surgical LED phase in trial 1 and during the lifestyle-intervention in trial 2 (lifestyle-cohort), plasma levels of total monounsaturated FAs, oleic acid and eicosenoic acid did not change during follow-up, while plasma levels of palmitoleic acid decreased (Table 2). Vaccenic acid levels increased during the pre-surgery LED phase, but did not change during follow-up in trial 2.

Polyunsaturated fatty acids

n-6 fatty acids

In trial 1, plasma levels of total n-6 FAs did not change after surgery (week 7–59) or after the 59-week lifestyle-intervention (Table 2). By contrast, plasma levels of linoleic acid (LA; C18:2 n-6), eicosadienoic acid (EDA; C20:2 n-6) and dihomo-gamma-linolenic acid (DGLA; C20:3 n-6) increased after surgery, but did not change in the lifestyle-group. Gamma-linolenic acid (GLA; C18:3 n-6) increased in the surgery-group and decreased in the lifestyle-group, while arachidonic acid (AA; C20:4 n-6) levels decreased in the surgery-group and increased in the lifestyle-group. Plasma levels of GLA, EDA, DGLA and AA changed more after surgery than in the lifestyle group (Table 3).

During the pre-surgical LED-phase in trial 1 and during the lifestyle-intervention in trial 2 (lifestyle-cohort),




Fig. 2 Waterfall plot depicting changes in Lp(a) levels from 7 to 59 weeks for each individual in the surgery-group and from baseline to 59 weeks for each individual in lifestyle-group of trial 1 (panel **A**), and from baseline to 20 weeks for each individual in trial 2 (panel **B**)

Table 2 Plasma levels of saturated-, monounsaturated- and polyunsaturated fatty acids in trial 1 and trial 2

	Trial 1				Trial 2							
	Surgery-group n = 82		Lifestyle-group n = 77		Lifestyle-cohort n = 134							
	Baseline	7 weeks	P*	59 weeks	P†	Baseline	59 weeks	P†	Baseline	20 weeks	P‡	
SFAs, % of total fatty acids												
Total SFA	30.4 (29.1–31.9)	29.6 (28.4–30.5)	< 0.001	29.4 (28.5–30.1)	0.36	30.5 (29.3–32.0)	29.8 (29.0–30.9)	0.001	32.5 (30.6–34.0)	31.0 (29.6–32.8)	< 0.001	
Myristic acid, 14:0	0.90 (0.70–1.20)	0.50 (0.40–0.70)	< 0.001	0.70 (0.55–0.90)	< 0.001	1.00 (0.80–1.20)	0.80 (0.70–1.10)	0.001	1.09 (0.81–1.39)	0.88 (0.70–1.19)	< 0.001	
Pentadecylic acid, 15:0	0.20 (0.20–0.30)	0.20 (0.20–0.30)	0.32	0.30 (0.20–0.30)	< 0.001	0.20 (0.20–0.30)	0.20 (0.20–0.30)	0.45	0.19 (0.15–0.22)	0.18 (0.16–0.22)	0.52	
Palmitic acid, 16:0	22.3 (21.3–24.0)	22.7 (21.7–23.5)	0.98	21.6 (20.8–22.3)	< 0.001	22.2 (21.3–23.8)	21.9 (21.1–23.3)	0.01	22.8 (21.2–24.2)	22.0 (20.5–23.1)	< 0.001	
Stearic acid, C18:0	6.90 (6.30–7.30)	6.00 (5.60–6.40)	< 0.001	6.80 (6.35–7.15)	< 0.001	6.80 (6.40–7.10)	6.80 (6.20–7.20)	0.76	6.62 (6.09–7.06)	6.48 (6.03–6.95)	0.26	
MUFAs, % of total fatty acids												
Total MUFA	26.3 (24.0–28.4)	26.1 (23.1–28.5)	0.66	25.6 (24.2–28.3)	0.39	25.2 (22.8–27.9)	24.2 (22.9–27.1)	0.21	24.8 (20.8–28.8)	24.3 (21.1–28.5)	0.15	
Palmitoleic acid, 16:1 n-7	2.45 (2.10–3.00)	2.10 (1.70–2.50)	< 0.001	1.90 (1.45–2.10)	< 0.001	2.30 (1.90–2.70)	2.10 (1.70–2.40)	< 0.001	2.03 (1.52–2.75)	1.60 (1.25–2.18)	< 0.001	
Oleic acid, 18:1 n-9	21.9 (20.2–23.3)	21.9 (19.5–24.1)	0.69	21.8 (20.7–23.8)	0.52	21.0 (19.2–23.5)	20.5 (19.4–23.4)	0.40	21.1 (17.7–24.3)	21.0 (18.1–24.3)	0.91	
Vaccenic acid, 18:1 n-7 cis	1.60 (1.50–1.80)	1.90 (1.70–2.00)	< 0.001	1.80 (1.60–1.90)	< 0.001	1.60 (1.40–1.70)	1.60 (1.50–1.80)	0.12	1.41 (1.22–1.65)	1.41 (1.27–1.64)	0.42	
Eicosenoic acid, 20:1 n-9	0.10 (0.10–0.20)	0.10 (0.10–0.20)	0.67	0.20 (0.10–0.20)	< 0.001	0.10 (0.10–0.20)	0.20 (0.10–0.20)	0.002	0.14 (0.11–0.18)	0.15 (0.12–0.18)	0.08	
n-6 PUFAs, % of total fatty acids												
Total n-6 PUFAs	34.5 (30.8–38.1)	35.4 (32.9–38.2)	0.009	35.5 (33.0–37.8)	0.34	35.4 (32.2–39.4)	36.2 (33.8–39.1)	0.21	33.5 (29.4–37.1)	35.0 (30.7–38.5)	< 0.001	
LA, C18:2 n-6	26.7 (23.0–29.8)	26.5 (24.2–28.9)	0.53	27.1 (24.3–28.8)	0.038	27.1 (24.9–30.4)	27.6 (25.2–29.9)	0.98	23.9 (20.6–27.3)	25.1 (21.4–28.4)	0.007	
GLA, C18:3 n-6	0.40 (0.30–0.50)	0.20 (0.20–0.30)	< 0.001	0.30 (0.20–0.40)	0.025	0.40 (0.40–0.50)	0.40 (0.30–0.50)	0.004	0.43 (0.35–0.58)	0.42 (0.33–0.55)	0.11	
EDA, C20:2 n-6	0.20 (0.20–0.20)	0.20 (0.20–0.20)	0.17	0.20 (0.20–0.30)	< 0.001	0.20 (0.20–0.30)	0.20 (0.20–0.20)	0.84	0.25 (0.23–0.28)	0.26 (0.23–0.30)	0.025	
DGLA, C20:3 n-6	1.60 (1.40–1.90)	1.20 (1.10–1.50)	< 0.001	1.50 (1.30–1.80)	< 0.001	1.70 (1.40–1.90)	1.60 (1.40–1.90)	0.97	1.45 (1.17–1.68)	1.39 (1.11–1.60)	0.042	
AA, C20:4 n-6	5.70 (5.00–6.80)	7.30 (6.10–8.30)	< 0.001	6.70 (5.90–7.60)	< 0.001	5.70 (4.90–6.80)	6.50 (5.50–7.60)	< 0.001	6.72 (5.50–7.79)	7.54 (6.06–8.54)	< 0.001	
n-3 PUFAs, % of total fatty acids												
Total n-3 PUFAs	3.80 (3.20–4.63)	4.90 (3.90–6.30)	< 0.001	4.20 (3.80–4.90)	< 0.01	4.50 (3.55–5.80)	4.90 (3.90–6.45)	0.001	3.67 (3.24–4.37)	3.85 (3.34–4.30)	0.46	
ALA, C18:3 n-3	0.60 (0.50–0.70)	0.50 (0.40–0.60)	< 0.001	0.50 (0.40–0.60)	0.14	0.60 (0.50–0.80)	0.60 (0.50–0.70)	0.001	0.55 (0.42–0.75)	0.55 (0.43–0.73)	0.56	
EPA, C20:5 n-3	0.80 (0.50–1.20)	1.00 (0.60–1.70)	< 0.001	0.80 (0.60–1.20)	0.021	0.90 (0.70–1.60)	1.10 (0.70–1.70)	0.037	0.72 (0.51–0.98)	0.68 (0.47–0.99)	0.16	
DPA, C22:5 n-3	0.50 (0.50–0.60)	0.60 (0.50–0.70)	< 0.001	0.70 (0.60–0.80)	< 0.001	0.60 (0.50–0.70)	0.60 (0.60–0.70)	0.004	0.50 (0.43–0.58)	0.50 (0.42–0.57)	0.28	
DHA, C22:6 n-3	1.90 (1.50–2.60)	2.80 (2.20–3.50)	< 0.001	2.30 (1.90–2.80)	< 0.001	2.40 (1.70–2.80)	2.60 (2.00–3.20)	< 0.001	1.86 (1.56–2.26)	1.99 (1.58–2.32)	0.11	

Abbreviations: AA arachidonic acid, ALA alpha linolenic acid, DGLA dihomo-gamma-linolenic acid, DHA docosahexaenoic acid, EPA eicosapentaenoic acid, GLA gamma-linolenic acid, LA linoleic acid, MUFA monounsaturated fatty acids, SFA saturated fatty acids, PUFA polyunsaturated fatty acids. Data are given as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range). Paired T test or Wilcoxon signed Rank test comparing

* baseline and 7 weeks

† 7 weeks and end of intervention

‡ baseline and end of intervention

Table 3 Differences in changes of plasma levels of fatty acids between the surgery-group (7–59 weeks) and the lifestyle-group (baseline–59 weeks) of trial 1^a

	Mean difference (95% CI)	p [†]
SFAs, % of total fatty acids		
Total SFA	0.44 (-0.01, 0.89)	0.06
Myristic acid, 14:0	0.31 (0.21, 0.42)	< 0.001
Pentadecylic acid, 15:0	0.04 (0.02, 0.06)	< 0.001
Palmitic acid, 16:0	-0.62 (-1.07, -0.18)	0.006
Stearic acid, C18:0	0.75 (0.51, 0.99)	< 0.001
MUFAs, % of total fatty acids		
Total MUFA	0.14 (-0.73, 1.02)	0.75
Palmitoleic acid, 16:1 n-7	-0.05 (-0.23, 0.12)	0.53
Oleic acid, 18:1 n-9	0.39 (-0.34, 1.13)	0.29
Vaccenic acid, 18:1 n-7 cis	-0.18 (-0.26, -0.10)	< 0.001
Eicosenoic acid, 20:1 n-9	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	1.00
n-6 PUFAs, % of total fatty acids		
Total n-6 PUFAs	-0.28 (-1.48, 0.92)	0.65
LA, C18:2 n-6	0.76 (-0.33, 1.85)	0.17
GLA, C18:3 n-6	0.09 (0.04, 0.13)	< 0.001
EDA, C20:2 n-6	0.05 (0.03, 0.06)	< 0.001
DGLA, C20:3 n-6	0.27 (0.15, 0.38)	< 0.001
AA, C20:4 n-6	-1.15 (-1.55, -0.76)	< 0.001
n-3 PUFAs, % of total fatty acids		
Total n-3 PUFAs	-0.98 (-1.44, -0.52)	< 0.001
ALA, C18:3 n-3	0.10 (0.05, 0.15)	< 0.001
EPA, C20:5 n-3	-0.32 (-0.54, -0.10)	0.005
DPA, C22:5 n-3	0.04 (0.00, 0.08)	0.042
DHA, C22:6 n-3	-0.70 (-0.91, -0.48)	< 0.001

Abbreviations: AA arachidonic acid, ALA alpha linolenic acid, DGLA dihomo-gamma-linolenic acid, DHA docosahexaenoic acid, DPA docosapentaenoic, EDA eicosadienoic acid, EPA eicosapentaenoic acid, GLA gamma-linolenic acid, LA linoleic acid, MUFA monounsaturated fatty acids, SFA saturated fatty acids, PUFA polyunsaturated fatty acids

^a Calculated using robust linear regression

[†] Adjusted for age, sex and weight change

plasma levels of total n-6 FAs and AA increased, while DGLA decreased (Table 2). Plasma levels of LA and EDA remained unchanged in the pre-surgical LED-phase, but increased in trail 2, while GLA levels decreased in the pre-surgical LED-phase, and did not change in trail 2.

n-3 fatty acids

In trial 1, plasma levels of total n-3 FAs, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; C20:5 n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; C22:6 n-3) decreased after surgery (week 7–59), but increased in the lifestyle-group (Table 2). Alpha linolenic acid (ALA; C18:3 n-3) levels did not change during follow-up in the surgery-group, but decreased in the lifestyle-group, while docosapentaenoic (DPA; C22:5 n-3) levels increased in both groups (Table 2). Between-group

differences in change were significant for ALA, DPA, EPA and DHA (Table 3).

During the pre-surgical LED-phase in trial 1, plasma levels of ALA decreased while plasma levels of all other n-3 FAs increased. During the lifestyle-intervention in trial 2, plasma levels of n-3 FAs did not change substantially.

Associations between plasma levels of Lp(a) and fatty acids

In the surgery-group (weeks 7–59), changes in plasma Lp(a) levels were inversely associated with changes in plasma levels of total saturated FAs and palmitic acid, and positively associated with changes in plasma levels total n-6 FAs and LA (Table 4). In the lifestyle-group of trial 1, changes in Lp(a) levels were inversely associated with changes in levels of total saturated FAs, palmitic acid and stearic acid, and positively associated with changes in plasma levels of total n-6 FAs, LA, AA and DHA. In the pre-surgical LED-phase (baseline to week 7) there were inverse associations between changes in plasma levels of Lp(a) and total monounsaturated FAs, oleic acid, and positive associations with total n-3 polyunsaturated FAs and DHA. With respect to the ratio of Lp(a) and fatty acids: There were no significant differences in the ratio of Lp(a) and total or individual n-3 and n-6 fatty acids between the lifestyle- and surgery-group, at any time-point (data not shown). In trial 2 (lifestyle-cohort), there was a positive association between changes in plasma levels of Lp(a) and changes in plasma levels of oleic acid.

Mediation analyses

Mediation analyses were performed based on data from trial 1. The association between the interventions (surgery vs. lifestyle) and changes in plasma levels of Lp(a) was not explained by changes in any of the individual FAs or groups of FAs, neither when comparing changes in plasma Lp(a) levels and FAs in the post-surgical phase (7 weeks to 59 weeks) with the lifestyle group (Supplementary table 1), nor when comparing the surgery group (baseline to 59 weeks) with the lifestyle group (data not shown).

Discussion

This study shows that, in people with overweight and obesity, bariatric surgery was associated with reduced plasma Lp(a) levels, whereas lifestyle interventions including calorie restriction were associated with increased plasma Lp(a) levels. Bariatric surgery and lifestyle interventions also differentially influenced plasma levels of FAs: Plasma levels of total saturated FAs remained unchanged after surgery, but decreased after lifestyle interventions. Also, plasma levels of the n-6 FA AA and total n-3 FAs decreased after surgery, but

Table 4 Associations between changes in plasma levels of fatty acids and changes in plasma levels of Lp(a)

	Trial 1				Trial 2			
	Surgery-group		Lifestyle-group		Lifestyle-cohort			
	Baseline—7 weeks (pre-surgery LED phase)		7 weeks—59 weeks (post-surgery phase)		Baseline—59 weeks		Baseline—20 weeks	
	Beta ^a	P	Beta ^a	P	Beta ^a	P	Beta ^a	P
SFAs, % of total FA								
Total SFA	-0.84 (-1.95, 0.27)	0.14	-3.61 (-5.75, -1.47)	0.001	-1.49 (-2.27, -0.72)	<0.001	-1.51 (-3.47, 0.45)	0.13
Myristic acid, 14:0	-4.41 (-9.95, 1.13)	0.12	-2.81 (-12.7, 7.05)	0.57	-3.94 (-8.36, 0.47)	0.08	-7.67 (-17.6, 2.29)	0.13
Pentadecylic acid, 15:0	16.2 (-10.7, 43.0)	0.24	-4.88 (-52.9, 43.1)	0.84	5.13 (-22.0, 32.2)	0.71	-41.0 (-96.9, 14.9)	0.15
Palmitic acid, 16:0	-0.50 (-1.72, 0.72)	0.41	-3.65 (-5.72, -1.58)	0.001	-1.55 (-2.60, -0.50)	0.004	-0.89 (-3.30, 1.52)	0.47
Stearic acid, C18:0	-1.63 (-4.42, 1.15)	0.25	0.16 (-3.55, 3.87)	0.93	-2.13 (-4.22, -0.05)	0.045	-5.17 (-11.2, 0.83)	0.09
MUFAs, % of total FA								
Total MUFA	-0.81 (-1.38, -0.22)	0.007	-0.96 (-2.15, 0.22)	0.11	-0.44 (-0.98, 0.11)	0.12	1.25 (-0.00, 2.50)	0.05
Palmitoleic acid, 16:1 n-7	-1.49 (-4.43, 1.45)	0.32	-2.72 (-8.02, 2.58)	0.31	-2.71 (-5.43, 0.01)	0.05	-3.05 (-10.3, 4.23)	0.41
Oleic acid, 18:1 n-9	-0.90 (-1.59, -0.20)	0.012	-1.25 (-2.70, 0.20)	0.09	-0.51 (-1.14, 0.12)	0.11	1.67 (0.28, 3.06)	0.019
Vaccenic acid, 18:1 n-7 cis	4.79 (-2.62, 12.2)	0.20	-4.11 (-16.2, 7.93)	0.50	7.22 (-0.14, 14.6)	0.05	7.73 (-7.40, 22.9)	0.31
Eicosenoic acid, 20:1 n-9	1.57 (-29.3, 32.4)	0.92	6.63 (-37.2, 50.5)	0.76	4.07 (-22.5, 30.7)	0.76	35.0 (-40.6, 110.5)	0.36
n-6 PUFAs, % of total FA								
Total n-6 PUFAs	0.41 (-0.05, 0.88)	0.08	1.51 (0.66, 2.35)	0.001	0.46 (0.08, 0.84)	0.019	0.04 (-1.09, 1.16)	0.95
LA, C18:2 n-6	0.21 (-0.32, 0.75)	0.43	1.37 (0.42, 2.32)	0.005	0.49 (0.12, 0.86)	0.011	-0.69 (-1.97, 0.60)	0.29
GLA, C18:3 n-6	-6.66 (-19.2, 5.84)	0.10	1.23 (-18.6, 21.1)	0.90	-4.60 (-16.0, 6.79)	0.42	-11.9 (-35.4, 11.6)	0.32
EDA, C20:2 n-6	-3.68 (-48.7, 41.4)	0.87	5.55 (-50.7, 61.8)	0.85	-30.4 (-65.1, 4.30)	0.09	36.9 (-44.9, 118.6)	0.37
DGLA, C20:3 n-6	0.98 (-4.27, 6.23)	0.71	-7.38 (-11.1, -3.70)	0.71	0.63 (-3.98, 5.23)	0.79	7.61 (-6.11, 21.3)	0.28
AA, C20:4 n-6	0.92 (-0.21, 2.06)	0.11	0.24 (-1.58, 2.05)	0.80	1.70 (0.24, 3.16)	0.023	1.91 (-1.06, 4.89)	0.21
n-3 PUFAs, % of total FA								
Total n-3 PUFAs	2.43 (0.45, 4.42)	0.017	-0.27 (-2.14, 1.60)	0.77	0.75 (-0.61, 2.11)	0.28	-0.92 (-3.84, 2.01)	0.54
ALA, C18:3 n-3	-10.9 (-23.7, 1.99)	0.10	5.65 (-12.3, 23.6)	0.53	-1.68 (-11.4, 8.07)	0.73	3.91 (-12.1, 19.9)	0.63
EPA, C20:5 n-3	4.90 (0.83, 8.97)	0.02	-0.26 (-3.80, 3.27)	0.88	0.67 (-1.48, 2.82)	0.54	-2.18 (-8.54, 4.17)	0.50
DPA, C22:5 n-3	10.1 8–9.51, 29.8)	0.31	-0.68 (-24.1, 22.7)	0.95	4.77 (-9.94, 19.5)	0.52	-10.5 (-53.6, 32.6)	0.63
DHA, C22:6 n-3	3.85 (0.46, 7.24)	0.026	-1.16 (-5.15, 2.82)	0.56	3.51 (0.01, 7.00)	0.049	-2.06 (-7.81, 3.69)	0.48

Abbreviations: AA arachidonic acid, ALA alpha linolenic acid, DGLA dihomo-gamma-linolenic acid, DHA docosahexaenoic acid, DPA docosapentaenoic, EDA eicosadienoic acid, EPA eicosapentaenoic acid, GLA gamma-linolenic acid, LA linoleic acid, MUFA monounsaturated fatty acids, SFA saturated fatty acids, PUFA polyunsaturated fatty acids

^a Calculated using robust linear regression

increased after lifestyle interventions. However, there was no evidence of FAs mediating the differential effects of the interventions (surgery vs. lifestyle) on plasma Lp(a) levels.

Comparisons with other studies—what does the current work add to the existing knowledge

RYGB is associated with reduced cardiovascular disease risk [24] and reduced risk of all-cause mortality [25], while lifestyle modifications tend to have less influence on morbidity and mortality [26]. The effects of RYGB on decreased cardiovascular disease risk and mortality are thought to mainly be driven by weight loss. However, a reduction in circulating levels of Lp(a) may also add to

the beneficial effects of bariatric surgery on cardiovascular disease risk. The Lp(a) lowering effect of RYGB, observed in this study, is in accordance with results from previous studies. A recent meta-analysis including 13 studies and 1551 adults and adolescents revealed a significant decrease in circulating Lp(a) following different types of bariatric surgery (standardized mean difference; -0.438, 95% CI: -0.702, -0.174) [8]. The heterogeneity between the studies was, however, large, and the mean (SD) Lp(a) levels at baseline ranged from 14.0 (3.65) to 258.2 (378) nmol/L. Meta-regression showed that there were no associations between changes in Lp(a) levels and BMI change or duration of follow-up. Further, in line with the present results, in a study involving 60 females,

with and without overweight, a 9% increase in Lp(a) levels was shown after a 6-month period of calorie restriction [27]. Also, in a cohort overlapping with the trial 2 cohort, Lp(a) increased in patients with overweight, with and without T2D, undergoing a calorie restricted diet for 3–4 months [7]. However, other studies have shown no change in Lp(a) levels after various dietary interventions aimed at weight loss [28–30].

Bariatric surgery and lifestyle-interventions did also differently influence FA levels. After bariatric surgery, plasma levels of total saturated FAs did not change from baseline, however plasma levels of palmitic acid decreased, while myristic acid, pentadecylic acid and stearic acid increased. The increased proportions of the saturated FAs myristic acid, pentadecylic acid and stearic acid following RYGB have previously been shown [31]. Total plasma saturated FAs decreased after the lifestyle interventions, which was mainly due to a reduction in palmitic acid. This finding is in accordance with results from a previous 12-week randomized controlled trial comparing mild-calorie-restriction (minus 300 kcal/day) with a control diet [15]. Calorie restricted diets typically include low levels of total fat and, in particular, saturated fats, as was also the case for the participants in the lifestyle intervention groups in this study. However, dietary intake of saturated and also mono-unsaturated fats may not necessarily correlate with plasma levels as these dietary FAs are endogenously synthesized and remodeled [32]. Plasma levels of the polyunsaturated FAs, on the other hand, correlate more strongly with dietary intake, and may better reflect dietary intake. Plasma levels of a number of n-3 FAs as well as the n-6 FA AA decreased after surgery, but increased during lifestyle interventions. Previous studies on the effect of calorie restriction and bariatric surgery on polyunsaturated FA levels showed somewhat conflicting results. In patients undergoing RYGB, the proportions of circulating n-3 [33] and n-6 FAs [16] increased from baseline to 1 year after surgery. In contrast, among 13 women undergoing RYGB, phospholipid FA composition was similar to baseline levels at 6 months post-surgery, except for a decrease in content of EPA [17]. Mild caloric restriction (minus 300 kcal/day) in 80 patients with overweight, resulted in greater reductions in plasma levels of some n-3 and n-6 FAs compared to control diet [15]. Unfortunately, data on dietary intake was not collected in the current study, neither in the lifestyle intervention groups nor in the bariatric surgery group. Thus, the strength of the relationship between changes in plasma FA levels and dietary intake cannot be assessed in the present study. However, one could speculate that the reduced intake of FAs in patients undergoing calorie restrictive diets has a different effect on circulating FAs and lipid metabolism compared with patients

undergoing bariatric surgery where there is reduced absorption of FAs [34], changes in the composition of microbiota and often altered dietary preferences [35].

The hypothesis of the present study was that bariatric surgery and lifestyle interventions would differently influence Lp(a) levels through a dissimilar effect on plasma FA levels. In the surgery-group, changes in Lp(a) were inversely associated with changes in saturated FAs and positively with changes in n-6 FAs, but there was no consistent pattern of associations among the different lifestyle intervention groups. An increased intake of total and saturated fats has been reported to decrease Lp(a) levels [12, 36]. However, mediation analysis failed to show an important role for any of the saturated FAs, in mediating the effect of surgery versus lifestyle intervention on Lp(a) levels in trial 1, an important result the current study adds to the existent knowledge. It has previously been shown that plasma levels of AA were positively associated with Lp(a) levels in patients suffering from familial hypercholesterolemia [9]. AA is an antagonist of the farnesoid X receptor (FXR) [37], and FXR activation has been found to decrease Lp(a) levels [38]. Thus the increased levels of AA during the lifestyle interventions in the present study, could potentially have caused the observed increase in Lp(a) levels, whereas the decreased AA levels after surgery may have resulted in the observed reduced Lp(a) levels. However, according to the mediation analyses, the different effects of surgery and lifestyle interventions on Lp(a) levels were not explained by changes in plasma levels of AA, nor of any other FA or FA category. Bile acids also act as FXR agonists. Although bile acids were not measured in this study, previous studies have shown that circulating levels of bile acids are increased after RYGB [39, 40], which may partly explain the lowering of Lp(a) levels among the surgical patients. Interestingly, bile acid synthesis and levels have been shown to be increased in women with obesity, and to be normalized within 3 days on a caloric restriction diet [41]. Diet-induced lowering of bile acid production may therefore partly explain the increased Lp(a) levels observed during the lifestyle interventions. Future studies should determine whether the differential effects of surgery and lifestyle intervention on Lp(a) are mediated by changes in bile acid levels. Furthermore, one could speculate that exercise may have influenced the observed change in Lp(a) levels. Results from studies on the effect of exercise on Lp(a) levels have been inconsistent, with some reporting no effect while others have reported mildly increased or decreased levels [42]. However, studies among younger individuals or patients with diabetes, showed moderate Lp(a)-lowering effects by exercise. In trial 1, the participants underwent a physical activity intervention, and the majority of the patients

reported that they completed >3 h of light physical activity per week and >3 h of vigorous physical activity per week during follow-up. Participants in the surgery group did not follow an exercise program prior to or following surgery. Exercise was also encouraged in trial 2, but the amount of physical activity performed did not change significantly from baseline. As Lp(a) increased during all lifestyle interventions even though only participants in the lifestyle-group of trial 1 followed an exercise program, it is less likely that the observed increase in Lp(a) was caused by exercise.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of the present study are its prospective design and the use of two independent trials with a relatively high number of patients with detailed analyses on both plasma FAs and Lp(a). Limitations include the non-randomized design, and plasma levels of Lp(a) and FAs being exploratory endpoints in both trials. Further, as the participants had been referred to a tertiary care center, these findings may not be generalized to all individuals with overweight and obesity. Of note, plasma Lp(a) levels were higher in trial 2 compared with trial 1, which may be partly explained by differences in analytical methods between the trials. Plasma samples from trial 2 were measured using a particle-enhanced immunoturbidimetric method by DiaSys Diagnostic System, but also later re-analyzed in a sub-group of patients using Roche Diagnostics, as applied in trial 1. The median Lp(a) value was 13% higher using the DiaSys Diagnostic System versus using the Roche Diagnostics method. Repeated freezing/thawing cycles may influence Lp(a) levels in samples [43]. However, the plasma samples were only frozen and thawed once before Lp(a) analyses in both trials, thus this is likely not an issue here. Another possible explanation may be differences in ethnicities between the trials. More than 55% of the participants in trial 2 were of non-White ethnicity, whereas 98% of the participants in trial 1 were White. Lp(a) levels are reported to vary across ethnicities, and people of non-White ethnicities are reported to have higher Lp(a) levels compared with those of White ethnicity [42]. All participants in trial 2 had T2D compared with only 20% in trial 1. Previous studies have shown conflicting results regarding whether patients with T2D having higher or lower plasma Lp(a) levels than patients without T2D [7, 44, 45]. Also, the participants in trial 2 were older than the participants in trial 1, and some, but not all, studies suggest that Lp(a) increases with age [46–50]. Polyunsaturated FAs are also susceptible to degradation through freezing/thawing cycles. In trial 2, the samples were frozen only once prior to the FA analysis, while in trial 1, the samples

were frozen twice before analysis. There is thus a possibility that there may have been some degradation of the polyunsaturated FAs in trial 1.

Conclusion

Lp(a) levels decreased in patients with obesity who underwent RYGB, but increased in patients with overweight or obesity undergoing lifestyle interventions. The mechanisms behind the Lp(a) lowering effect of bariatric surgery are unknown. The results of this study indicate that alterations of plasma levels of different FAs following RYGB do not explain the changes in circulating Lp(a) levels. In addition, changes in Lp(a) levels were not explained by changes in bodyweight. As individuals with obesity have an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, which is reduced after RYGB, one could speculate whether the reduction in circulating levels of Lp(a) partly explains the beneficial effects of RYGB on cardiovascular disease risk is at least partly explained by the reduction in circulating levels of Lp(a). On the other hand, the increase in Lp(a) seen after lifestyle interventions may increase cardiovascular risk in individuals with obesity. If the mechanisms behind the increase in Lp(a) by lifestyle interventions were known, it becomes possible to take targeted actions to reduce this potentially negative side effect. Our results make it less likely that manipulating fatty acid composition will contribute to the solution. Thus future studies should clarify the mechanisms underlying the decrease in Lp(a) levels after RYGB and the increase in Lp(a) levels following lifestyle interventions. Long-term follow-up studies are also required to determine whether elevated Lp(a) levels, observed after energy restricted diets, are associated with an increased incidence of cardiovascular disease in patients with overweight and obesity.

Abbreviations

AA: Arachidonic acid; ALA: Alpha linolenic acid; BMI: Body mass index; DGLA: Dihomo-gamma-linolenic acid; DHA: Docosahexaenoic acid; DPA: Docosapentaenoic; EDA: Eicosadienoic acid; EPA: Eicosapentaenoic acid; FA: Fatty acid; GLA: Gamma-linolenic acid; HDL: High density lipoprotein; IQR: Interquartile range; LA: Linoleic acid; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; LED: Low energy diet; Lp(a): Lipoprotein (a); RDA: Recommended Dietary Allowance; RYGB: Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; SD: Standard deviation; TBWL: Total body weight loss; T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-022-01756-1>.

Additional file 1: Table S1. The mediating effect of fatty acids on the relationship between group (surgery vs. lifestyle) and plasma levels of Lp(a).

Additional file 2.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all of the patients who participated in this study and acknowledge the entire research team at the Morbid Obesity Centre in Tønsberg, Norway, and we particularly thank Linda Mathisen, Heidi Omre Fon and Berit Mossing Bjørkås (Morbid Obesity Centre) for their continuous efforts, enthusiasm and patient care.

Authors' contributions

KACB, KBH and JH conceived the study. HB, KACB, MTM, AJMV, KBH wrote the manuscript. HB and MCS were responsible for the statistical analyses. All authors critically participated in interpretation of the data, reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

No funding.

Availability of data and materials

Access to data collected from this study, including de-identified individual-participant data, will be made available following publication upon e-mail request to the corresponding author (HB). After approval of a proposal, data will be shared with investigators whose proposed use of the data is in accordance with the consent given by the participants and in accordance with Norwegian and/or Dutch laws and legislations.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Norway (code: S-05175) and the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center (reference numbers MEC-2009-143, MEC-2014-090 and MEC 2016-604) approved of the study. The study was conducted according to the principles in the Declaration of Helsinki, and written informed consent was provided by all the participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

No competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Pharmacology and Vascular Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands. ²Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Dietetics, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, Erasmus University MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ³Morbid Obesity Center, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Tønsberg, Norway. ⁴Norwegian National Advisory Unit On Familial Hypercholesterolemia, Department of Endocrinology, Morbid Obesity and Preventive Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. ⁵Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. ⁶Department of Nutrition and Management, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway. ⁷Unit for Cardiac and Cardiovascular Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway. ⁸Department of Cardiology, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway. ⁹K.G. Jebsen Center of Cardiac Biomarkers, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. ¹⁰Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Stavern, Norway. ¹¹Norwegian Police University College, Stavern, Norway. ¹²Department of Endocrinology, Morbid Obesity and Preventive Medicine, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

Received: 27 September 2022 Accepted: 13 December 2022

Published online: 28 December 2022

References

- Kamstrup PR, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Extreme lipoprotein(a) levels and risk of myocardial infarction in the general population: the copenhagen city heart study. *Circulation*. 2008;117(2):176–84.
- Emerging Risk Factors C, Erqou S, Kaptoge S, Perry PL, Di Angelantonio E, Thompson A, et al. Lipoprotein(a) concentration and the risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, and nonvascular mortality. *JAMA*. 2009;302(4):412–23.
- Hiraga T, Kobayashi T, Okubo M, Nakanishi K, Sugimoto T, Ohashi Y, et al. Prospective study of lipoprotein(a) as a risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 1995;18(2):241–4.
- Waldeyer C, Makarova N, Zeller T, Schnabel RB, Brunner FJ, Jorgensen T, et al. Lipoprotein(a) and the risk of cardiovascular disease in the European population: results from the BiomarCaRE consortium. *Eur Heart J*. 2017;38(32):2490–8.
- Kronenberg F, Utermann G. Lipoprotein(a): resurrected by genetics. *J Intern Med*. 2013;273(1):6–30.
- TF Authors M, Guidelines ESCCfP, Societies ESCNC. 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk Atherosclerosis 2019 290 140 205
- Berk KA, Yahya R, Verhoeven AJM, Touw J, Leijten FP, van Rossum EF, et al. Effect of diet-induced weight loss on lipoprotein(a) levels in obese individuals with and without type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2017;60(6):989–97.
- Jamialahmadi T, Reiner Ž, Alidadi M, Kroh M, Almahmeed W, Ruscica M, et al. The effect of bariatric surgery on circulating levels of Lipoprotein (a): a meta-analysis. *Biomed Res Int*. 2022;2022:8435133.
- Narverud I, Bogsrud MP, Oyri LKL, Ulven SM, Retterstol K, Ueland T, et al. Lipoprotein(a) concentration is associated with plasma arachidonic acid in subjects with familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Br J Nutr*. 2019;1–10.
- Berglund L, Lefevre M, Ginsberg HN, Kris-Etherton PM, Elmer PJ, Stewart PW, et al. Comparison of monounsaturated fat with carbohydrates as a replacement for saturated fat in subjects with a high metabolic risk profile: studies in the fasting and postprandial states. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2007;86(6):1611–20.
- Ginsberg HN, Kris-Etherton P, Dennis B, Elmer PJ, Ershow A, Lefevre M, et al. Effects of reducing dietary saturated fatty acids on plasma lipids and lipoproteins in healthy subjects: the DELTA Study, protocol 1. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1998;18(3):441–9.
- Faghihnia N, Tsimikas S, Miller ER, Witztum JL, Krauss RM. Changes in lipoprotein(a), oxidized phospholipids, and LDL subclasses with a low-fat high-carbohydrate diet. *J Lipid Res*. 2010;51(11):3324–30.
- Silaste ML, Rantala M, Alftan G, Aro A, Witztum JL, Kesaniemi YA, et al. Changes in dietary fat intake alter plasma levels of oxidized low-density lipoprotein and lipoprotein(a). *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2004;24(3):498–503.
- Leilami K, Kohansal A, Mohammadi Sartang M, Babajafari S, Sohrabi Z. Adverse effects of conjugated linoleic acids supplementation on circulating lipoprotein (a) levels in overweight and obese individuals: results of a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Cardiovasc Dis*. 2021;11(1):124–35.
- Lee YJ, Lee A, Yoo HJ, Kim M, Kim M, Jee SH, et al. Effect of weight loss on circulating fatty acid profiles in overweight subjects with high visceral fat area: a 12-week randomized controlled trial. *Nutr J*. 2018;17(1):28.
- Walle P, Takkunen M, Mannisto V, Vaittinen M, Kakela P, Agren J, et al. Alterations in fatty acid metabolism in response to obesity surgery combined with dietary counseling. *Nutr Diabetes*. 2017;7(9): e285.
- Forbes R, Gasevic D, Watson EM, Ziegler TR, Lin E, Burgess JR, et al. Essential fatty acid plasma profiles following gastric bypass and adjusted gastric banding bariatric surgeries. *Obes Surg*. 2016;26(6):1237–46.
- Pakiet A, Halinski LP, Rostkowska O, Kaska L, Proczko-Stepaniak M, Sledzinski T, et al. The effects of one-anastomosis gastric bypass on fatty acids in the serum of patients with morbid obesity. *Obes Surg*. 2021;31(10):4264–71.
- Lin C, Vage V, Mjos SA, Kvalheim OM. Changes in serum fatty acid levels during the first year after bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2016;26(8):1735–42.
- Gjevestad E, Hjelmessaeth J, Sandbu R, Nordstrand N. Effects of intensive lifestyle intervention and gastric bypass on aortic stiffness: a 1-year non-randomized clinical study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2015;23(1):37–45.
- Nordstrand N, Gjevestad E, Hertel JK, Johnson LK, Saltvedt E, Roislien J, et al. Arterial stiffness, lifestyle intervention and a low-calorie diet in morbidly obese patients—a nonrandomized clinical trial. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013;21(4):690–7.

22. Berk KA, Buijks H, Ozcan B, Van't Spijker A, Busschbach JJ, Sijbrands EJ. The Prevention Of WEight Regain in diabetes type 2 (POWER) study: the effectiveness of adding a combined psychological intervention to a very low calorie diet, design and pilot data of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:1026.
23. Hayes AF. Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach (Methodology in the Social Sciences). 3. ed: The Guilford Press; 2022 January 24. 732 p.
24. Hinerman AS, Barinas-Mitchell EJM, El Khoudary SR, Courcoulas AP, Wahed AS, King WC. Change in predicted 10-year and lifetime cardiovascular disease risk after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2020;16(8):1011–21.
25. Lent MR, Benotti PN, Mirshahi T, Gerhard GS, Strodel WE, Petrick AT, et al. All-cause and specific-cause mortality risk after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in patients with and without diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40(10):1379–85.
26. Wadden TA, Tronieri JS, Butryn ML. Lifestyle modification approaches for the treatment of obesity in adults. *Am Psychol*. 2020;75(2):235–51.
27. Hirowatari Y, Manita D, Kamachi K, Tanaka A. Effect of dietary modification by calorie restriction on cholesterol levels in lipoprotein(a) and other lipoprotein classes. *Ann Clin Biochem*. 2017;54(5):567–76.
28. Corsetti JP, Sterry JA, Sparks JD, Sparks CE, Weintraub M. Effect of weight loss on serum lipoprotein(a) concentrations in an obese population. *Clin Chem*. 1991;37(7):1191–5.
29. Kiortsis DN, Tzotzas T, Giral P, Bruckert E, Beucler I, Valsamides S, et al. Changes in lipoprotein(a) levels and hormonal correlations during a weight reduction program. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2001;11(3):153–7.
30. Yamashita T, Sasahara T, Pomeroy SE, Collier G, Nestel PJ. Arterial compliance, blood pressure, plasma leptin, and plasma lipids in women are improved with weight reduction equally with a meat-based diet and a plant-based diet. *Metabolism*. 1998;47(11):1308–14.
31. Wijayatunga NN, Sams VG, Dawson JA, Mancini ML, Mancini GJ, Moustaid-Moussa N. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery alters serum metabolites and fatty acids in patients with morbid obesity. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2018;34(8): e3045.
32. Arab L. Biomarkers of fat and fatty acid intake. *J Nutr*. 2003;133 Suppl 3(3):925S–32S.
33. Hovland A, Nestvold T, Bohov P, Troseid M, Aukrust P, Berge RK, et al. Bariatric surgery reduces fasting total fatty acids and increases n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in morbidly obese individuals. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2017;77(8):628–33.
34. Mahawar KK, Sharples AJ. Contribution of malabsorption to weight loss after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a systematic review. *Obes Surg*. 2017;27(8):2194–206.
35. Pucci A, Batterham RL. Mechanisms underlying the weight loss effects of RYGB and SG: similar, yet different. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2019;42(2):117–28.
36. Clevidence BA, Judd JT, Schaefer EJ, Jenner JL, Lichtenstein AH, Muesing RA, et al. Plasma lipoprotein (a) levels in men and women consuming diets enriched in saturated, cis-, or trans-monounsaturated fatty acids. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1997;17(9):1657–61.
37. Zhao A, Yu J, Lew JL, Huang L, Wright SD, Cui J. Polyunsaturated fatty acids are FXR ligands and differentially regulate expression of FXR targets. *DNA Cell Biol*. 2004;23(8):519–26.
38. Hoover-Plow J, Huang M. Lipoprotein(a) metabolism: potential sites for therapeutic targets. *Metabolism*. 2013;62(4):479–91.
39. Fouladi F, Mitchell JE, Wonderlich JA, Steffen KJ. The contributing role of bile acids to metabolic improvements after obesity and metabolic surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2016;26(10):2492–502.
40. Mazzini GS, Khoraki J, Browning MG, Wu J, Zhou H, Price ET, et al. Gastric bypass increases circulating bile acids and activates hepatic farnesoid X Receptor (FXR) but Requires Intact Peroxisome Proliferator Activator Receptor Alpha (PPARalpha) signaling to significantly reduce liver fat content. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2021;25(4):871–9.
41. Straniero S, Rosqvist F, Edholm D, Ahlstrom H, Kullberg J, Sundbom M, et al. Acute caloric restriction counteracts hepatic bile acid and cholesterol deficiency in morbid obesity. *J Intern Med*. 2017;281(5):507–17.
42. Enkhmaa B, Anuurad E, Berglund L. Lipoprotein (a): impact by ethnicity and environmental and medical conditions. *J Lipid Res*. 2016;57(7):1111–25.
43. Kronenberg F. Lipoprotein(a) measurement issues: Are we making a mountain out of a molehill? *Atherosclerosis*. 2022;349:123–35.
44. Kamstrup PR, Nordestgaard BG. Lipoprotein(a) concentrations, isoform size, and risk of type 2 diabetes: a Mendelian randomisation study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2013;1(3):220–7.
45. Mora S, Kamstrup PR, Rifai N, Nordestgaard BG, Buring JE, Ridker PM. Lipoprotein(a) and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Clin Chem*. 2010;56(8):1252–60.
46. de Boer LM, Hof MH, Wiegman A, Stroobants AK, Kastelein JJP, Hutten BA. Lipoprotein(a) levels from childhood to adulthood: data in nearly 3,000 children who visited a pediatric lipid clinic. *Atherosclerosis*. 2022;349:227–32.
47. Jenner JL, Ordovas JM, Lamon-Fava S, Schaefer MM, Wilson PW, Castelli WP, et al. Effects of age, sex, and menopausal status on plasma lipoprotein(a) levels. The Framingham Offspring Study. *Circulation*. 1993;87(4):1135–41.
48. Slunga L, Asplund K, Johnson O, Dahlén GH. Lipoprotein (a) in a randomly selected 25–64 year old population: the Northern Sweden Monica Study. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1993;46(7):617–24.
49. Akita H, Matsubara M, Shibuya H, Fuda H, Chiba H. Effect of ageing on plasma lipoprotein(a) levels. *Ann Clin Biochem*. 2002;39(3):237–40.
50. Kamstrup PR, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Steffensen R, Nordestgaard BG. Genetically elevated Lipoprotein(a) and increased risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. 2009;301(22):2331–9.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

